

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters 45 (2004) 1621–1625

Tetrahedron Letters

Applications of Baylis–Hillman acetates: one-pot, facile and convenient synthesis of substituted γ -lactams

Deevi Basavaiah* and Jamjanam Srivardhana Rao

School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500 046, India

Received 19 November 2003; revised 18 December 2003; accepted 23 December 2003

Abstract—A simple, convenient and one-pot transformation of the acetates of Baylis–Hillman adducts into substituted γ -lactams, that is, (E)-5-alkyl-3-arylidenepyrrolidin-2-ones via treatment with nitroalkanes in the presence of a base, followed by reductive cyclization, using Fe/AcOH, is described.

2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The Baylis–Hillman reaction has become a useful synthetic reaction in organic chemistry in recent years because it provides a simple and convenient method for the synthesis of interesting classes of densely functionalized molecules in operationally simple, one-pot, atom economic procedures.^{1,2} The γ -lactam framework occupies an important place in nitrogen heterocycles because it is present in various natural products and pharmaceutical agents³ and hence the development of convenient methods for the synthesis of γ -lactams represents an interesting and attractive endeavor in organic synthesis.^{3b,e,k,n,4} In continuation of our interest in the synthesis of heterocyclic molecules,⁵ we herein report a facile one-pot synthesis of substituted γ -lactams, that is, (E) -5-alkyl-3-arylidenepyrrolidin-2-ones using the acetates of Baylis–Hillman adducts.

Acetates of the Baylis–Hillman adducts have been successfully employed in a number of transformations leading to the synthesis of various important and useful molecules often involving high levels of stereoselectivities.⁶ Although there are a number of methods available for the synthesis of γ -lactams,⁴ a literature survey revealed no report on the synthesis of 3-arylidene- γ lactams using acetates of Baylis–Hillman adducts.

The literature also revealed that acetates of the Baylis– Hillman adducts were conveniently transformed into the corresponding (E) -2-arylidene-4-nitroalkanoates^{6b} [or (E) -2-alkylidene-4-nitroalkanoates^{6h}] and (E) -2-alkylidene-4-nitroalkanones^{6g} [via treatment with nitroalkanes in the presence of K_2CO_3/DMF (or NaOH/THF) and NaOH/THF, respectively], which were subsequently converted into γ -keto esters^{6b,h} and 1,4-diketones^{6g} (Scheme 1).

It occurred to us that reductive cyclization of the (E) -2arylidene-4-nitroalkanoates, which would be obtained in situ via treatment of acetates of Baylis–Hillman adducts with nitroalkanes, with an appropriate reagent could result in the one-pot formation of 5-alkyl-3-arylidenepyrrolidin-2-ones. Accordingly, we first selected methyl 3 -acetoxy-3-phenyl-2-methylenepropanoate $(2a)$ for reaction with nitroethane (1a) in the presence of a base followed by reductive cyclization. The best results were obtained when the ester 2a (2 mmol) was treated with nitroethane (1a) (8 mmol) in the presence of K_2CO_3 (8 mmol) in THF/H₂O at room temperature for 12 h followed by the treatment with Fe/AcOH (after removal of THF and nitroethane under reduced pressure) at reflux temperature for 2 h, providing the product (E) -5methyl-3-benzylidenepyrrolidin-2-one (3) in 66% isolated yield after work-up and purification by column chromatography.7 With a view to examine whether the reaction was more facile and whether the yield of 3 would be higher using a stepwise method, we also isolated the trisubstituted alkene, that is, methyl (E) -2benzylidene-4-nitropentanoate (3A). Thus, treatment of the starting material 2a (2 mmol) with nitroethane (8 mmol) in the presence of K_2CO_3 (8 mmol) in THF (5 mL) and water (0.1 mL) at room temperature for 12 h provided the desired trisubstituted alkene 3A in 80%

Keywords: Baylis-Hillman chemistry; γ -Lactams; Reductive cyclization; Fe/AcOH.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91-40-2301-0221; fax: +91-40-2301- 2460; e-mail: [dbsc@uohyd.ernet.in](mail to: dbsc@uohyd.ernet.in)

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2003.12.133

Scheme 1. Synthesis of γ -keto esters and 1,4-diketones using acetates of the Baylis–Hillman adducts.

isolated yield after the usual work-up and column chromatography. The ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of the crude product indicated the presence of $\approx 5\%$ of the Z-isomer as was evident from the appearance of a singlet at ca. δ

6.86 (olefinic proton) with a very low intensity. Subsequent treatment of $3A$ with Fe/AcOH at 110 °C for 2 h provided the desired γ -lactam 3 in 71% yield (57% overall) (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. $S_N/2$ reaction of methyl 3-acetoxy-3-phenyl-2-methylenepropanoate (2a) with nitroethane (1a) followed by reductive cyclization with Fe/AcOH.

Scheme 3. $S_N/2$ reaction on acetates of Baylis–Hillman adducts with nitroalkanes followed by reductive cyclization with Fe/AcOH.

Since the yield was better in the case of the one-pot method we selected the one-pot procedure as the method of choice and extended this strategy to a representative class of the acetates of Baylis–Hillman adducts to provide the desired (E) -5-alkyl-3-arylidenepyrrolidin-2-ones 4–14 in 55–68% yields (Scheme 3, Table 1). The (E) selectivity of these reactions was established from single crystal X-ray data for pyrrolidinone 11 (Fig. 1). 8

When we extended this strategy to the acetates 2h,i of Baylis–Hillman adducts derived from butyraldehyde and heptanal, the resulting products, that is, (E) -5methyl-3-butylidenepyrrolidin-2-one 15 and (E) -5methyl-3-heptylidenepyrrolidin-2-one 16 were obtained in 52% and 49% yields, respectively, after column purification. However, the 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectral analysis of the purified products 15 and 16 indicated the presence of $\approx 8\%$ and $\approx 10\%$ impurities, respectively. Compound 15 was obtained in pure form after preparative HPLC (Shim pack PREP-ODS column, methanol). However, similar attempts to obtain 16 in chemically pure form were unsuccessful. A plausible mechanism for the one-pot transformation of the acetates of Baylis–Hillman adducts into substituted γ -lactams is presented in Scheme 3.

In conclusion, we have developed a convenient, operationally simple, one-pot procedure for the syn-

Table 1. Synthesis of (E)-5-alkyl-3-arylidenepyrrolidin-2-ones^{a-f}

Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of compound 11.

thesis of substituted γ -lactams from Baylis–Hillman acetates.

Acknowledgements

We thank the CSIR (New Delhi) for funding this project. We thank UGC (New Delhi) for recognizing our University of Hyderabad as 'University with potential for excellence (UPE)' and funding generously and also for the Special Assistance Program in Organic Chemistry in the School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad. J.S.R. thanks UGC and DST (New Delhi) for his

^a All reactions were carried out on 2 mmol of the Baylis–Hillman acetate with the nitroalkane (8 mmol), in the presence of K_2CO_3 (8 mmol) in THF/ water at room temperature for 12 h and then the reaction mixture was treated with Fe powder (12 mmol)/AcOH (5 mL) (after removal of THF and nitroalkane under reduced pressure) at 110° C for 2 h.

 b The compounds 3–14 were obtained as solids while compounds 15 and 16 were obtained as viscous liquids. All the compounds 3–15 were characterized by IR, 1H NMR (200 MHz), 13C NMR (50MHz) spectral data and elemental analyses.

 c The (E)-stereochemistry of compound 11 was established by single crystal X-ray data and the stereochemistry of the other compounds was assigned in analogy to 11.
^dYields are of the pure products (based on acetates) after purification via silica gel column chromatography.

^e In the case of molecules 6, 10, 12–14, the ¹H NMR spectra of the crude products showed \approx 5–10% of uncyclized compound as evidenced by the singlet at $\approx \delta$ 7.81–8.30 (olefinic proton) and the singlet at $\approx \delta$ 3.85–3.92 (COOCH₃) with low intensity.

 f The 1 H NMR spectra of the crude products did not indicate clearly the presence of any isomeric impurity [on the basis of the 1 H NMR spectrum of the crude product 3A (uncyclized product), which showed the presence of $\approx 5\%$ (Z)-isomeric impurity; we believe that all these reactions are at least \approx 95% (*E*)-selective].
^g Structures were further confirmed by mass spectral analyses.

^hThe ¹H NMR spectra of the crude nitro compounds 15A and 16A derived from aliphatic acetates 2h and 2i indicated the presence of \approx 11% and 13%

(Z)-isomers as evidenced by the triplet at $\approx \delta$ 6.05 (olefinic proton *trans* to the ester group).
^{i 1}H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectral analysis of the column purified products 15 and 16 indicated the presence of $\approx 8\%$ respectively. However, compound 15 was obtained in pure form after preparative HPLC (Shim pack PREP-ODS column, methanol).

research fellowships. We also thank the National Single Crystal X-ray Facility in our School of Chemistry funded by the DST (New Delhi). We thank Prof. T. P. Radhakrishnan for helpful discussions regarding the X-ray crystal structure.

References and notes

- 1. (a) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, A. J.; Satyanarayana, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 811–891; (b) Ciganek, E. In Organic Reactions; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1997, Vol. 51, pp 201–350; (c) Basavaiah, D.; Dharmarao, P.; Suguna Hyma, R. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8001–8062; (d) Drewes, S. E.; Roos, G. H. P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4653–4670.
- 2. (a) O'Dell, D. K.; Nicholas, K. M. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 6427–6430; (b) Basavaiah, D.; Sreenivasulu, B.; Rao, A. J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5983–5991; (c) Yang, K.-S.; Lee, W.-D.; Pan, J.-F.; Chen, K. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 915– 919; (d) Aggarwal, V. K.; Emme, I.; Fulford, S. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 692–700; (e) Almeida, W. P.; Coelho, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 937–940; (f) Kraiem, H.; Abdullah, M. I.; Amri, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 553–555; (g) Wang, M.-X.; Wu, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 535–540; (h) Shi, M.; Xu, Y. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4507–4510; (i) Basavaiah, D.; Sharada, D. S.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Reddy, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7135–7137; (j) Patra, A.; Batra, S.; Joshi, B. S.; Roy, R.; Kundu, B.; Bhaduri, A. P. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5783– 5788; (k) Ram Reddy, M. V.; Rudd, M. T.; Ramachandran, P. V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5382–5385; (l) Yu, C.; Hu, L. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 219–223; (m) Frank, S. A.; Mergott, D. J.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2404– 2405; (n) Wang, L.-C.; Luis, A. L.; Agapiou, K.; Jang, H.- Y.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2402–2403; (o) Cai, J.; Zhou, Z.; Zhao, G.; Tang, C. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4723–4725; (p) Pei, W.; Wei, H.-X.; Li, G. Chem. Commun. 2002, 2412–2413; (q) Li, G.; Gao, J.; Wei, H.-X.; Enright, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 617–620; (r) Racker, R.; Doring, K.; Reiser, O. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6932–6939; (s) Barrett, A. G. M.; Cook, A. S.; Kamimura, A. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2533–2534; (t) Basavaiah, D.; Sarma, P. K. S. Chem. Commun. 1992, 955–957; (u) Basavaiah, D.; Gowriswari, V. V. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2031-2032.
- 3. (a) Li, S.-H.; Zhang, H.-J.; Qiu, S.-X.; Niu, X.-M.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Mesecar, A. D.; Fong, H. H. S.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Sun, H. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5131–5134; (b) Carpes, M. J. S.; Correia, C. R. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 741–744; (c) Bhattia, S. H.; Davies, G. M.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Loakes, D.; Young, D. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 2449–2454; (d) Davies, G. M.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Loakes, D.; Young, D. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 5601–5604; (e) Fishwick, C. W. G.; Foster, R. J.; Carr, R. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3915–3918; (f) Mattern, R.-H.; Gunasekera, S.; McConnell, O. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 425–434; (g) Koehn, F. E.; McConnell, O. J.; Longley, R. E.; Sennett, S. H.; Reed, J. K. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 3181–3186; (h) Zhang, H.; Shigemori, H.; Ishibashi, M.; Kosaka, T.; Pettit, G. R.; Kamano, Y.; Kobayashi, J. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 10201–10206; (i) Gamzu, E. R.; Hoover, T. M.; Gracon, S. I.; Ninteman, M. V. Drug Dev. Res. 1989, 18, 177–189; (j) Baldwin, J. E.; Freeman, R. T.; Lowe, C.; Schofield, C. J.; Lee, E. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 4537–4550; (k) Ikuta, H.; Shirota, H.; Kobayashi, S.; Yamagishi, Y.; Yamada, K.; Yamatsu, I.; Katayama, K. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1995-

1998; (l) Baldwin, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Jones, R. H.; Schofield, C. J.; Zaracostas, C. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 4879– 4888; (m) Boyd, D. B.; Elzey, T. K.; Hatfield, L. D.; Kinnick, M. D.; Morin, J. M., Jr. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3453–3456; (n) Belaud, C.; Roussakis, C.; Letourneux, Y.; El Alami, N.; Villieras, J. Synth. Commun. 1985, 15, 1233–1243.

- 4. (a) Pinho, P.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 259–261; (b) Kang, S.-K.; Kim, K.-J.; Yu, C.-M.; Hwang, J.-W.; Do, Y.-K. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2851–2853; (c) Beji, F.; Lebreton, J.; Villeras, J.; Amri, H. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9959–9962; (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 625–628; (e) Nicolaou, K. C.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 622–625; (f) Jackson, L. V.; Walton, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2000, 2327–2328; (g) Morimoto, T.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 1758–1759; (h) Berger, D.; Imhof, W. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1457–1458; (i) Choudhury, P. K.; Foubelo, F.; Yus, M. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 3376–3378; (j) Hanusch-Kompa, C.; Ugi, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2725–2728; (k) Ruck-Braun, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 509–511.
- 5. (a) Basavaiah, D.; Jaganmohan Rao, A. Chem. Commun. 2003, 604–605; (b) Basavaiah, D.; Satyanarayana, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 4301–4303; (c) Basavaiah, D.; Reddy, R. M.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Sharada, D. S. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 3693–3697; (d) Basavaiah, D.; Sreenivasulu, B.; Srivardhana Rao, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 1147–1149; (e) Basavaiah, D.; Bakthadoss, M.; Pandiaraju, S. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1639–1640.
- 6. (a) Chung, Y. M.; Gong, J. H.; Kim, T. H.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 9023–9026; (b) Kim, J. M.; Im, Y. J.; Kim, I. H.; Kim, J. N. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2002, 23, 657–658; (c) Kim, J. N.; Kim, H. S.; Gong, J. H.; Chung, Y. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 8341–8344; (d) Kim, J. N.; Im, Y. J.; Gong, J. H.; Lee, K. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 4195–4197; (e) Akiyama, H.; Fujimoto, T.; Ohshima, K.; Hoshino, K.; Saito, Y.; Okamoto, A.; Yamamoto, I.; Kakehi, A.; Iriye, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 2265–2272; (f) Basavaiah, D.; Satyanarayana, T. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3619–3622; (g) Chamakh, A.; Mhirsi, M.; Villieras, J.; Lebreton, J.; Amri, H. Synthesis 2000, 295–299; (h) Hbaieb, S.; Amri, H. J. Soc. Chim. Tunis. 2000, 1, 671–681; (i) Akiyama, H.; Fujimoto, T.; Ohshima, K.; Hoshino, K.; Yamamoto, I.; Iriye, R. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 427–430; (j) Basavaiah, D.; Krishnamacharyulu, M.; Suguna Hyma, R.; Sarma, P. K. S.; Kumaragurubaran, N. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 1197–1200; (k) Basavaiah, D.; Bhavani, A. K. D.; Pandiaraju, S.; Sarma, P. K. S. Synlett 1995, 3, 243–244; (l) Basavaiah, D.; Bhavani, A. K. D.; Sarma, P. K. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 1091–1092.
- 7. Typical experimental procedure: (E)-5-Methyl-3-benzylidenepyrrolidin-2-one 3: To a stirred mixture of nitroethane $(8 \text{ mmol}, 0.6 \text{ g})$ and K_2CO_3 $(8 \text{ mmol}, 1.104 \text{ g})$ in THF (5 mL) and water (0.1 mL), was added methyl 3-acetoxy-3-phenyl-2-methylenepropanoate $(2a)$ $(2 \text{ mmol}, 0.468 \text{ g})$ at room temperature. After stirring at room temperature for 12 h, THF and nitroethane were removed under reduced pressure. The reaction mixture was diluted with acetic acid (5 mL) and Fe powder (12 mmol, 0.67 g) was added. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux (at $110\textdegree C$) for 2 h and then was allowed to cool to room temperature. Acetic acid was removed under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL), stirred for 2 min and filtered to remove any iron impurities. The insoluble iron residue was washed with EtOAc (10 mL). The filtrate and washings were combined and dried over anhydrous

Na2SO4. The solvent (EtOAc) was removed under reduced pressure and the residue thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using 70% EtOAc in hexanes to afford (E) -5-methyl-3-benzylidenepyrrolidin-2one (3) as a light yellow solid in 66% yield (0.247 g). Mp: 101–103 °C; IR (KBr): 3200–2800 (multiple bands), 1685, 1647 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR: δ 1.32 (d, 3H, $J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}$), 2.58–2.77 $(m, 1H)$, 3.32 (ddd, $1H$, $J = 2.6$, 7.8, 17.6 Hz), 3.82–4.03 (m, 1H), 7.04 (br, 1H), 7.28-7.54 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR: δ 23.26, 34.95, 47.52, 128.35, 128.54, 129.41, 130.12, 131.33, 135.73, 172.05; EIMS: 187 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO: C, 76.98; H, 7.00; N, 7.48. Found: C, 76.85; H, 7.03; N, 7.52.

8. Detailed X-ray crystallographic data is available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (for compound 11 CCDC #217594). Crystal data for 11: empirical formula, $C_{14}H_{17}NO$; formula weight, 215.29; crystal colour, habit:
light yellow, rectangular; crystal dimensions, rectangular; $0.64 \times 0.55 \times 0.48$ mm; crystal system, monoclinic; lattice type, primitive; lattice parameters, $a = 10.048(4)$ Å, $b = 11.\overline{595(5)}\,\text{\AA}, \quad c = 11.158(3)\,\text{\AA}; \quad \beta = 112.97(3); \quad V =$ 1196.9(8) \mathring{A}^3 ; space group, $P2_1/a$ (no. 14); $Z = 4$; $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.195 \text{ g/cm}^3$; $F_{000} = 464$; $\lambda(\text{MoK}_{\alpha}) = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$; $R(I \geq 3\sigma_1) = 0.0660, wR^2 = 0.1914.$